

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2023-0038

Assigned EPS: A Ziemann Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

<u>APPLICANT</u>				
Project Sponsor(s): Horseshoe Pond/Deer River	r Flow Association			
Landowner(s): NYSOGS Authorized Representative: : Glenn Sullivan, Rea	adv Scout			
Authorized Representative Clerin Guillyan, Rec	ady ocour			
PROJECT SITE				
Town/Village: Duane County: Franklin				
Road and/or Water Body: Horseshoe Pond				
Tax Map #(s): NA				
Deed Ref: NA				
Land Use Area(s): □H □MIU □LIU □RU Project Site Size: 24.8± acres	⊔RM ⊔IU			
☐ Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above				
,	I nortion of the Tay Man #(s) identified above			
□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above ⊠Other (describe):Treatment Plan Map				
Lawfully Created? Y				
	☐Recreational Name of River: Click or tap here to enter			
text.	Traine of their office of tap here to office			
CEAs (include all): ⊠Wetland - □Fed Hwy - □State Hv	wy - □State Land - □Elevation - □Study River			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	250 ::: (
Application of the aquatic herbicide ProcellaCOF to control Eurasian watermilfoil.	R EC within four treatment zones in Horseshoe Pond,			
to control Eurasian watermillon.				
JURISDICTION (including legal citation)				
9 NYCRR Part 578				
PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMEN				
None Superseded; Prior Permits: P2006-115; P	² 2012-109 (GP2008G1-A); P2016-84 (GP2015G-2)			
FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SET	TTING			
Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams				
Water Body Name: Horseshoe Pond				
Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): NA	MHWM determ: □Y □N			
Minimum Lot Width: NA	Meets standard:□Y □N			
Structure Setback (APANA Act):NA	Meets standard: □Y □N			
Structure Setback (River Regs): NA	Meets standard: □Y □N			

□Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □Y □I □Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? □Y □I □Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)
Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development □ Permanent Stream □ Intermittent Stream □ Classified? □ Y □ N □ Click or tap here to enter text.
Wetlands □Y □N Jurisdictional wetland on property, or □Y □N Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction □ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → If Y, covertype: Deepwater Marsh → If Y, value rating: 1 □Y □N Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland Area of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text. Permanent? □Y □N □Y □N Fill/structure in wetlands Fill/structure area: Click or tap here to enter text. □Y □N Shading of wetland Area of shading: Click or tap here to enter text. □Y □N Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted Clearcut area: Click or tap here to enter text. □Y □N Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland □Y □N Pollution discharge into wetland Pollution type: Click or tap here to enter text. □Y □N Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland Pollution type: ProcellaCor EC □Y □N OSWTS within 100 feet of a wetland Distance to Wetland: Click or tap here to enter text.
Ecological / Wildlife □Y ⊠N Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat present, including bat □Y ⊠N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulte □Y ⊠N Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor □ If Yes, completed
Special Districts □Y ⊠N Agricultural District
Slopes NA □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: Click or tap here to enter text. Building area(s) if authorizing development: Click or tap here to enter text.
Soils NA □Y □N Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) □ If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst? NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text

Stori	mwatei	r NA		
□Y □N Greater than 1 acre disturbance, or				
$\Box Y$	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	\Box If \forall	es, stormwater management reviewed and approved by RASS e		
		ack to wetlands: Click or tap here to enter text.		
Char	acter o	of Area		
Near	by (incl	ude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial □Industrial □	Agricultural ⊠Forested	
Adjoi	ning La	and Uses / State Land: DEC Underwater Lands of Deer	River Flow; Debar Mountain WF;	
		Flow Primitive Area		
	-	evelopment visible from road? Y N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		
→ If `	Y, nam	e road and describe visible development: Click or tap h	ere to enter text.	
Addi	tional	Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Click or	tap here to enter text.	
***	Attacl	n Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdi	vision, attach one for each lot)	
FIND	INGS (OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW		
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP	\square If Yes, APA APO consulted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	\Box If Yes, APA AHPO consulted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Solar Project > 50 acres requiring ZVI & historic inver	ntory \square If Yes, APA AHPO consulted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Within Lake George Park □ If Yes	s, LGPC consulted / application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	· · ·] If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	\square If Yes, DEC application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of classified/navigable water be	pody \square If Yes, DEC application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing 300 LF or more of a stream (temp + perm)	\square If Yes, DEC application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing ¼ acre of Corps wetlands (temp + perm)	\square If Yes, DEC application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	\square If Yes, DOH application submitted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Army Corps involvement *	\Box If Yes, ACOE consulted	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	\square If Yes, Town/Village consulted	
		e-Construction Notification (PCN) thresholds for the Buffalo Distric		
		application (PCN) needs to be submitted to the Corps. Additionally action 10 Navigable Waters permit might be required from the Cor		
PERI	MIT CC	NDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS		
Мака	.a. NA			
_	jer NA fication	if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.		
Deer	l Cove	nant NA		
		g lot being created? □ Y □N		
If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: Click o				
tan here to enter text				

Easement proposed or required? $\Box Y = \Box N$ If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? □Y → If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Structure footprint limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
 → If N: → Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? □Y □N → Review of future development required? □Y □N → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. 				
Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) NA Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? □Y □N → Justification for any conditions: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) NA Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y □N → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? □Y □N → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Docks (if project site contains shoreline) NA Proposed and reviewed?				
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) NA Plan proposed and reviewed? \Box Y \Box N				
Building Color (if authorizing development) NA If color condition required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal NA Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N				
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? \(\subseteq \text{N} \) If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): \(\subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing } \) \(\subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of road } \) \(\subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of river/lake/etc} \)				

 □ Within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands □ Other: Click or tap here to enter text. OR □ on entire site outside limits of clearing 	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above: Cutting of all vegetation prohibited Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited Other: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Plantings NA Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, plantings required? □Y □N → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA Located in Town with ALLUP? □Y □N (If Y, STOP, Town ove Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? □Y □N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click or enter text.	•
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? □Y □N If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) NA	□Y□N
Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS?	
Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?	
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?	□Y□N
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?	\Box Y \Box N
Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	$\Box Y \Box N$
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development withir wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circun Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development withir wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circun lustification; Click or tan here to enter text	

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development) NA Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings NA

Explain why no condition is needed: Click or tap here to enter text.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

- A: Condition restricting timing of treatment to mid May/June of treatment year
- B: Application must be within the treatment locations proposed, and in accordance with DEC Pesticides Permit
- C: Compliance with "Clean Drain Dry" standards for all equipment to be used in the application
- D: Conduct post treatment concentration monitoring as described in the Sampling Plan.
- E: Conduct post treatment aquatic plant survey between August 1 and September 15 of the treatment year, repeating the survey methodology of the pre-treatment survey.
- F: Post treatment status report to be provided to the Agency by December 1 of the treatment year, to include post treatment residue monitoring report and post treatment aquatic plant survey results
- Justifications: A: Target plants will be smaller early controlled early in the growing season will be smaller than they are later in the season. This will result resulting in reduced decaying biomass and associated water quality impacts
- B: Dosage control is pursuant to DEC permit; Application in areas other than those depicted on the map may have associated impacts that haven't been reviewed, or may involve underwater lands under different ownerships
- C: Clean Drain Dry standards will limit potential for spread of invasive plant material from other waterbodies
- D: Post treatment residue monitoring will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized
- E: Post Treatment aquatic plant survey will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized, will provide data to assess efficacy of the treatment, and will provide assessment of non-target impacts

$\boxtimes Y$	\square N	Public comments received	If Yes, #: 6 (as of 3/14/2024)
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Applicant submitted response	(notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.